

Annual Review of Sociology, Volume 40 (2014)

“Ethnic Diversity and Its Effects on Social Cohesion”

Tom van der Meer and Jochem Tolsma

Supplemental References

The following 90 studies were used to test the validity of the constrict proposition, as described in the review. Papers that were accepted for publication but not yet published in 2013 are dated as “2013” in Figure 2 and in the Supplemental Table. The references were updated in June 2014 to reflect their publication in 2014 if applicable.

1. Aizlewood A, Pendakur R. 2005. Ethnicity and social capital in Canada. *Can. Ethn. Stud.* 37:77–102
2. Aladysheva A. 2013. *The echo of Stalinism: ethnic diversity and social capital in contemporary Russia*. Work. Pap., Dep. Int. Econ., Grad. Inst. Int. Develop. Stud. (IHEID), Geneva
3. Alesina A, La Ferrara E. 2000. Participation in heterogeneous communities. *Q. J. Econ.* 115:847–904
4. Alesina A, La Ferrara E. 2002. Who trusts others? *J. Public Econ.* 85:207–34
5. Andersen R, Milligan S. 2011. Immigration, ethnicity and voluntary association membership in Canada: individual and contextual effects. *Res. Soc. Stratif. Mobil.* 29:139–54
6. Anderson CJ, Paskeviciute A. 2006. How ethnic and linguistic heterogeneity influence the prospects for civil society: a comparative study of citizenship behavior. *J. Polit.* 68:783–802
7. Andrews R. 2009. Civic engagement, ethnic heterogeneity, and social capital in urban areas evidence from England. *Urban Aff. Rev.* 44:428–40
8. Bailey N, Kearns A, Livingston M. 2012. Place attachment in deprived neighbourhoods: the impacts of population turnover and social mix. *Hous. Stud.* 27:208–31
9. Bakker L, Dekker K. 2012. Social trust in urban neighbourhoods: the effect of relative ethnic group position. *Urban Stud.* 49:2031–47
10. Bécares L, Stafford M, Laurence J, Nazroo J. 2011. Composition, concentration and deprivation: exploring their association with social cohesion among different ethnic groups in the UK. *Urban Stud.* 48:2771–87

11. Bjørnskov C. 2008. Social trust and fractionalization: a possible reinterpretation. *Eur. Sociol. Rev.* 24:271–83
12. Brouard S, Tiberj V. 2009. Divided they really stand? The *France Plurielle* case. *APSA Newsl.* 20:15–18
13. Chen W. 2008. *Appreciating the complexity: ethnic diversity and generalized trust in the melting pot*. Work. Pap., Duke Univ., Durham, NC.
<http://www.ios.sinica.edu.tw/ios/seminar/socialcapital2008/13-Chen.pdf>
14. Clark J, Kim B. 2012. The effect of neighbourhood diversity on volunteering: evidence from New Zealand. *B.E. J. Econ. Anal. Policy* 12
15. Costa DL, Kahn ME. 2003. Civic engagement and community heterogeneity: an economist's perspective. *Perspect. Polit.* 1:103–11
16. Delhey J, Newton K. 2005. Predicting cross-national levels of social trust: global pattern or Nordic exceptionalism? *Eur. Sociol. Rev.* 21:311–27
17. Dincer OC. 2011. Ethnic diversity and trust. *Contemp. Econ. Policy* 29:284–93
18. Dinesen PT, Sønderskov KM. 2012. Trust in a time of increasing diversity: on the relationship between ethnic heterogeneity and social trust in Denmark from 1979 until today. *Scand. Polit. Stud.* 35:273–94
19. Dinesen PT, Sønderskov KM. 2013. *Ethnic diversity of the micro-context and generalized trust: evidence from Denmark*. Work. Pap. First presented at 6th Eur. Consort. Polit. Res. (ECPR) Gen. Conf., Reykjavik, Iceland, Aug. 25–27 2011
20. Drever AI. 2007. *Germans in Germany's ethnic neighborhoods*. SOEPPapers Multidiscip. Panel Data Res. 48, DIW Berlin.
http://www.diw.de/documents/publikationen/73/63419/diw_sp0048.pdf
21. Fieldhouse E, Cutts D. 2010. Does diversity damage social capital? A comparative study of neighbourhood diversity and social capital in the US and Britain. *Can. J. Polit. Sci.* 43:289–318
22. Finney N, Jivraj S. 2013. Ethnic group population change and neighbourhood belonging. *Urban Stud.* 50:3323–41
23. Finseraas H, Jakobsson N. 2012. Trust and ethnic fractionalization: the importance of religion as a cross-cutting dimension. *Kyklos* 65:327–39

24. Gesthuizen M, Van der Meer T, Scheepers P. 2009. Ethnic diversity and social capital in Europe: tests of Putnam's thesis in European countries. *Scand. Polit. Stud.* 32:121–42
25. Gijsberts M, Van der Meer T, Dagevos J. 2012. 'Hunkering down' in multi-ethnic neighbourhoods? The effects of ethnic diversity on dimensions of social cohesion. *Eur. Sociol. Rev.* 28:527–37
26. Glennerster R, Miguel E, Rothenberg AD. 2013. Collective action in diverse Sierra Leone communities. *Econ. J.* 123:285–316
27. Górny A, Toruńczyk-Ruiz S. 2014. Neighbourhood attachment in ethnically diverse areas: the role of interethnic ties. *Urban Stud.* 51:1000–18
28. Guest AM, Kubrin CE, Cover JK. 2008. Heterogeneity and harmony: neighbouring relationships among whites in ethnically diverse neighbourhoods in Seattle. *Urban Stud.* 45:501–26
29. Gundelach B. 2014. In diversity we trust: the positive effect of ethnic diversity on outgroup trust. *Polit. Behav.* 36:125–42
30. Gundelach B, Traunmüller R. 2013. Beyond generalised trust: norms of reciprocity as an alternative form of social capital in an assimilationist integration regime. *Polit. Stud.* In press. doi: 10.1111/1467-9248.12064
31. Gustavsson M, Jordahl H. 2008. Inequality and trust in Sweden: some inequalities are more harmful than others. *J. Public Econ.* 92:348–65
32. Håkansson P, Sjöholm F. 2007. Who do you trust? Ethnicity and trust in Bosnia and Herzegovina. *Europe-Asia Stud.* 59:961–76
33. Hakim SM, Meissen G. 2011. Diversity, trust and social capital: examining community level relationships. In *Proc. 7th Annu. GRASP Symp., Wichita State Univ., 2011*. <http://soar.wichita.edu/handle/10057/3626>
34. Healy E. 2007. Ethnic diversity and social cohesion in Melbourne. *People Place* 15:49
35. Hero RE. 2003. Social capital and racial inequality in America. *Perspect. Polit.* 1:113–22
40. Ivarsflaten E, Strømsnes K. 2013. Inequality, diversity and social trust in Norwegian communities. *J. Elect. Public Opin. Parties* 23:322–42
41. Kazemipur A. 2006. A Canadian exceptionalism? Trust and diversity in Canadian cities. *J. Int. Migr. Integr./Rev. l'Integr. Migr. Int.* 7:219–40

42. Kesler C, Bloemraad I. 2010. Does immigration erode social capital? The conditional effects of immigration-generated diversity on trust, membership, and participation across 19 countries, 1981–2000. *Can. J. Polit. Sci.* 43:319–47
43. Knack S, Keefer P. 1997. Does social capital have an economic payoff? A cross-country investigation. *Q. J. Econ.* 112:1251–88
44. Koopmans R, Veit S. 2013. Cooperation in ethnically diverse neighborhoods: a lost-letter experiment. *Polit. Psychol.* In press. doi: 10.1111/pops.12037
45. Lancee B, Dronkers J. 2011. Ethnic, religious and economic diversity in Dutch neighbourhoods: Explaining quality of contact with neighbours, trust in the neighbourhood and inter-ethnic trust. *J. Ethn. Migr. Stud.* 37:597–618
46. Laurence J. 2011. The effect of ethnic diversity and community disadvantage on social cohesion: a multi-level analysis of social capital and interethnic relations in UK communities. *Eur. Sociol. Rev.* 27:70–89
47. Laurence J, Heath A. 2008. *Predictors of Community Cohesion: Multi-Level Modelling of the 2005 Citizenship Survey*. London: Dep. Commun. Local Gov.
48. Leigh A, 2006. Trust, inequality and ethnic heterogeneity. *Econ. Rec.* 82:268–80
49. Letki N. 2008. Does diversity erode social cohesion? Social capital and race in British neighbourhoods. *Polit. Stud.* 56:99–126
50. Lim C, MacGregor CA. 2012. Religion and volunteering in context disentangling the contextual effects of religion on voluntary behavior. *Am. Sociol. Rev.* 77:747–79
51. Lipford JW, Yandle B. 2009. The determinants of purposeful voluntarism. *J. Socio-Econ.* 38:72–79
52. Lolle H, Torpe L. 2011. Growing ethnic diversity and social trust in European societies. *Comp. Eur. Polit.* 9:191–216
53. Lupo G. 2010. Is immigration detrimental for social trust in the European Union? A three-level model of cultural heterogeneity and citizenship regime as social capital predictors. *Int. J. Soc. Inq.* 3:67–96
54. Marschall MJ, Stolle D. 2004. Race and the city: neighborhood context and the development of generalized trust. *Polit. Behav.* 26:125–53
55. Mata F, Pendakur R, Columbia MB. 2010. *Social capital formation and diversity in Canadian cities: impacts of individual and place-related characteristics*. Work. Pap. Ser. 10-

- 02, Metrop. B.C., Cent. Excell. Res. Immigr. Divers., Vancouver, B.C.
<http://mbc.metropolis.net/assets/uploads/files/wp/2010/WP10-02.pdf>
56. Morales L, Echazarra A. 2013. Will we all hunker down? The impact of immigration and diversity on local communities in Spain. *J. Elect. Public Opin. Parties* 23:343–66
57. Öberg P, Oskarsson S, Svensson T. 2011. Similarity vs. homogeneity: contextual effects in explaining trust. *Eur. Polit. Sci. Rev.* 3:345–69
58. Olivera J. 2013. *On changes in general trust in Europe*. Discuss. Pap. WP2013/01, UCD Geary Inst., Univ. College Dublin.
<http://www.ucd.ie/geary/static/publications/workingpapers/gearywp201301.pdf>
59. Paxton P. 2007. Association memberships and generalized trust: a multilevel model across 31 countries. *Soc. Forces* 86:47–76
60. Pennant R. 2005. *Diversity, trust and community participation in England*. Findings 253, Home Off., Res. Dev. Stat. Dir., London
61. Phan MB. 2008. We're all in this together: context, contacts, and social trust in Canada. *Anal. Soc. Issues Public Policy* 8:23–51
62. Phan MB, Blumer N, Demaiter EI. 2009. Helping hands: neighborhood diversity, deprivation, and reciprocity of support in non-kin networks. *J. Soc. Pers. Relat.* 26:899–918
63. Putnam RD. 2007. *E pluribus unum: diversity and community in the twenty-first century*. The 2006 Johan Skytte Prize Lecture. *Scand. Polit. Stud.* 30:137–74
64. Reeskens T, Hooghe M. 2009. *Is local ethnic diversity harmful for social capital? A multilevel analysis of social capital in Belgian communities*. Presented at Eur. Consort. Polit. Res. (ECPR) edition:5, Sept. 10–14, Potsdam, Ger.
65. Reeskens T, Wright M. 2013. Nationalism and the cohesive society a multilevel analysis of the interplay among diversity, national identity, and social capital across 27 European societies. *Comp. Polit. Stud.* 46:153–81
66. Reeskens T. 2010. *Ethnic-cultural diversity, migrant integration policies and social cohesion in Europe: investigating the conditional effect of ethnic-cultural diversity on generalized trust*. Presented at Migration: A World in Motion, Feb. 18–20, Maastricht, Neth.
67. Rice TW, Steele B. 2001. White ethnic diversity and community attachment in small Iowa towns. *Soc. Sci. Q.* 82:397–407

68. Rios R, Aiken LS, Zautra AJ. 2012. Neighborhood contexts and the mediating role of neighborhood social cohesion on health and psychological distress among Hispanic and non-Hispanic residents. *Ann. Behav. Med.* 43:50–61
69. Rothwell JT. 2012. The effects of racial segregation on trust and volunteering in US cities. *Urban Stud.* 49:2109–36
70. Rupasingha A, Goetz SJ, Freshwater D. 2006. The production of social capital in US counties. *J. Socio-Econ.* 35:83–101
71. Savelkoul M, Gesthuizen M, Scheepers P. 2011. Explaining relationships between ethnic diversity and informal social capital across European countries and regions: tests of constrict, conflict and contact theory. *Soc. Sci. Res.* 40:1091–107
72. Schaeffer M. 2013. Can competing diversity indices inform us about why ethnic diversity erodes social cohesion? A test of five diversity indices in Germany. *Soc. Sci. Res.* 42:755–74
74. Siordia C, Saenz J. 2013. On the relationship between neighborhood perception, length of residence and co-ethnic concentration. *Appl. Spatial Anal. Policy* 6:267–84
75. Soroka SN, Helliwell JF, Johnston R. 2003. Measuring and modelling trust. In *Diversity, Social Capital and the Welfare State*, ed. F Kay, R Johnston, pp. 95–132. Vancouver: Univ. B.C. Press
76. Stolle D, Petermann S, Schmid K, Schönwälder K, Hewstone M, et al. 2013. Immigration-related diversity and trust in German cities: the role of intergroup contact. *J. Elect. Public Opin. Parties* 23:279–98
77. Stolle D, Soroka S, Johnston R. 2008. When does diversity erode trust? Neighborhood diversity, interpersonal trust and the mediating effect of social interactions. *Polit. Stud.* 56:57–75
78. Sturgis P, Brunton-Smith I, Read S, Allum N. 2011. Does ethnic diversity erode trust? Putnam’s ‘hunkering down’ thesis reconsidered. *Br. J. Polit. Sci.* 41:57–82
79. Tolsma J, Van der Meer T, Gesthuizen M. 2009. The impact of neighbourhood and municipality characteristics on social cohesion in the Netherlands. *Acta Polit.* 44:286–313
80. Tsai M-C, Laczko L, Bjørnskov C. 2011. Social diversity, institutions and trust: a cross-national analysis. *Soc. Indic. Res.* 101:305–22
81. Twigg L, Taylor J, Mohan J. 2010. Diversity or disadvantage? Putnam, Goodhart, ethnic heterogeneity, and collective efficacy. *Environ. Plan. A* 42:1421

82. Uslaner EM. 2011. *Contact, diversity, and segregation*. SULCIS Work. Pap. 2011:5, Stockholm Univ. Linnaeus Cent. Integr. Stud., Stockholm, Swed.
83. Vermeulen F, Tillie J, van de Walle R. 2012. Different effects of ethnic diversity on social capital: density of foundations and leisure associations in Amsterdam neighbourhoods. *Urban Stud.* 49:337–52
84. Vervoort M, Flap H, Dagevos J. 2011. The ethnic composition of the neighbourhood and ethnic minorities' social contacts: three unresolved issues. *Eur. Sociol. Rev.* 27:586–605
85. Völker B, Flap H, Lindenberg S. 2007. When are neighbourhoods communities? Community in Dutch neighbourhoods. *Eur. Sociol. Rev.* 23:99–114
86. Wallman Lundåsen S, Wollebæk D. 2013. Diversity and community trust in Swedish local communities. *J. Elect. Public Opin. Parties* 23:299–321
87. Wickes R, Zahnow R, White G, Mazerolle L. 2014. Ethnic diversity and its impact on community social cohesion and neighborly exchange. *J. Urban Aff.* 36:51–78
88. Zak PJ, Knack S. 2001. Trust and growth. *Econ. J.* 111:295–321
89. Zerfu D, Zikhali P, Kabenga I. 2009. Does ethnicity matter for trust? Evidence from Africa. *J. Afr. Econ.* 18:153–75
90. Zimdars A, Tampubolon G. 2012. Ethnic diversity and European's generalised trust: how inclusive immigration policy can aid a positive association. *Sociol. Res. Online* 17:15